



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20221 www.iispio.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/349,346	07/07/1999	DAVID JOHN STACEY		6530
75	. 01/02/2003			
WILLIAM M. LEE JR.			EXAMINER	
LEE, MANN, SMITH, MCWILLIAMS, SWEENEY & OHLSON POST OFFICE BOX 2786 CHICAGO, IL 606902786			ABELSON, RONALD B	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER

DATE MAILED: 01/02/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(a)				
Office Action Summary		•	Applicant(s)				
		09/349,346	STACEY ET AL.				
		Examiner	Art Unit				
	The MAIL INC DATE of this communication and	Ronald Abelson	2666				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	lears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address				
THE I - Exter after - If the - If NO - Failu - Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Insions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period or re to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute eply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ting within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 07.	luly 1999 .					
2a) <u></u> □	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ Th	is action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
· _	on of Claims						
	Claim(s) 1-17 is/are pending in the application						
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
·	Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
·	☑ Claim(s) <u>1-17</u> is/are rejected.						
•	Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o on Papers	r election requirement.					
	The specification is objected to by the Examine	r					
	The drawing(s) filed on <u>07 July 1999</u> is/are: a)[o Eveminer				
10/23	Applicant may not request that any objection to the						
11) 🗌 -	The proposed drawing correction filed on		• •				
,—	If approved, corrected drawings are required in rep		2 2 2 2 3 1 1 2 2 X X X X X X X X X X X X X X X X				
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.							
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
13)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	n priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a	n)-(d) or (f).				
a)[☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:		, , , , ,				
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
* S	3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Busee the attached detailed Office action for a list	reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	•				
	cknowledgment is made of a claim for domesti	•					
a) The translation of the foreign language pro	visional application has been rec	eived.				
Attachment		ic priority under 33 O.S.C. 99 120	7 anu/01 121.				
1) Notice	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of Informal F	/ (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Application/Control Number: 09/349,346

Art Unit: 2666

Specification

1. 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, requires the specification to be written in "full, clear, concise, and exact terms." The specification is replete with terms which are not clear, concise and exact. The specification should be revised carefully in order to comply with 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph. Examples of some unclear, inexact or verbose terms used in the specification are: 'substantially constant rate', see spec pg. 2 lines 29-30, pg. 3 lines 1,15, pg. 6 line 9, and 'slightly larger', see spec pg. 6 line 27.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

2. Claims 1-17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as containing subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to enable one skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and/or use the invention. Regarding independent claims 1, 2, 9, 10, and 17 the term 'substantially constant rate' is not precise: see claim 1 line 4, claim 2 line 6, claim 9 line 5, claim 10 line 6, and claim 17 line 6.

Application/Control Number: 09/349,346

Art Unit: 2666

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 4. Claims 1 and 9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Saito (US 5,541,926).

Regarding claim 1, Saito teaches a method and apparatus for interfacing frame based TDM traffic (fig. 3 box 800) to an asynchronous network (fig. 3 box 808), the method comprising mapping the frame-based TDM traffic into cells or packets (fig. 3 box 808), and scheduling the dispatch of said cells or packets into the asynchronous network at substantially a constant rate (desired flow cycles, col. 7 lines 25-32).

Regarding claim 9, in addition to the limitations listed in claim 1, Saito teaches a scheduler (fig. 3 box 807).

Art Unit: 2666

Response to Arguments

5. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-17 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Ronald Abelson whose telephone number is (703) 306-5622. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Seema Rao can be reached on (703) 308-5463. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 872-9314 for regular communications and (703) 872-9314 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305-9600.

Application/Control Number: 09/349,346

Art Unit: 2666

Ronald Abelson Examiner Art Unit 2666 Page 5

December 18, 2002

Suma S. Ras

Seema S. Rao Supervisory Patent Examiner AU 2666 Date

Official fax number: 703-872-9314